



SBA Meeting Agenda

February 15, 2012

12:00 PM

3080

I. Meeting Called to Order

II. Open Forum (3 minutes per speaker / 15 minute maximum)

- a. Rachel, on behalf of Lambda. Issue with Chik-fil-A biscuits because of anti-gay comments by the Corporation.
 - i. "Insult to injury" a bit, because Lambda requested last year that we stop Chik-fil-A full stop. So the increase (breakfast, etc.) is frustrating.
 - ii. Possible to switch to Bojangles or other alternatives?
 1. Crystal: let's look into it. It's an issue we need to address.

III. Establishment of Quorum—met. 10 present. Jackie late, Nick absent (excused), Ryan MIA, no notice.

IV. Approval of Agenda—approved

V. Approval of Minutes—approved

- a. Minutes from February 8, 2012

VI. Officer Reports

a. President's Report

- i. Health and Wealth Week Update—we're moving the week.
 1. But blood drive is still on. Woot! Close to 20 sign-ups already.
 2. Issues with "Tennis Tournament": can't have *any* instruction not from UNC tennis staff. Can't call it "instruction"
 3. Tentative schedule: week after Spring Break
 - a. Monday, 12th: SRC rep coming to talk
 - b. Tuesday, 13th: *motion for inflatable basketball in the courtyard*. Will cost \$150 for 6 hours. 10am-4pm perhaps. But we will need to babysit (SBA members)
 - i. Crystal: motion to allocate \$200 for this. Seconded.
 - ii. Vote: unanimous. Approved.
 - c. Wednesday: finance talk. Credit union rep + Vanda (UNC Law financial aid) + Andy Andrews.
 - d. Thursday: State Bar vs. NCBA
 - e. Friday: Kickball or tennis. Need to figure out logistics.
 - i. Teams of 8. *Maybe by small section?* Small section pride!
 - ii. Maybe get a faculty team to play against?
 4. Other ideas:
 - a. Rachel—a cook off (potluck style) with voting. Nominate Tuesday.

- b. Rachel—some people have had idea to do law-school-wide game of Assassin.
 - i. Jennings: “I’d win”
 - ii. Crystal—might be harder to organize for Wellness Week
 - iii. Elyssa—could be a game of serving process: “You’ve been served”
- ii. Jackets from Barristers’
 - 1. Will do “Coat-find” in SBA suite, from now til next Wednesday. Will man the suite from 12pm to 1pm each day.
- iii. Barristers’ Discussion / Date Auction Discussion
 - 1. Was *fun*. However, students who are *excessively* inebriated, who make inappropriate offers, are a problem for our administration.
 - 2. Comments:
 - a. Elyssa: we want to come across as a professional institution even when we’re having fun. We represent the law school community.
 - b. JM: However, we are at a bar.
 - c. Kavita: if we’re discouraging students from having a “sexual” appeal, then maybe we shouldn’t have a date auction.
 - d. Jackia: strip clubs are legal. As a law school we are open to having controversial conversations, so why is discussing “strip clubs” a problem, especially in a casual/ out-of-school environment?
 - e. Sara: this is an individual person, making individual decision. So what would SBA’s role be? Do they want us to police students?
 - f. Kavita: Policing the event would ruin it, and no one would come.
 - g. Jackie: we don’t police conduct at bar reviews, so why is this an issue here?
 - h. Crystal: discussion here is “do we want to promote “formal events where drinking occurs” vs. “drinking event.” Key distinction.
 - i. Kat: we want these events to be inclusive, not preclusive to “non-‘get sloshy’” individuals. Bar reviews and dating action alike.
 - j. Elyssa: Barristers tone does need to change. How?
 - i. Maybe make it earlier in the evening, make it a dinner event.
 - ii. Maybe in a venue where the centerpiece is the bar and the dance floor, that had nothing but “grindy music”
 - k. Kavita: maybe increase the mix, where bar review is at “more chill” bars more often.
 - l. Crystal: this is why we do things like kickball games. Interaction between all levels of students, not just “bar-going” students. Cross-group events are key to SBA. The question here is: is this the type of event that we want to promote?
 - m. Elyssa: “pre-gaming” is an issue. Something that maybe should be discouraged, because it turns people off. How? Don’t know.
 - n. Kat: compromise at this past Barrister’s Ball, actually! Back bar vs. Great room. Back bar was more chill, so BB did provide options to many types of people.
 - 3. How did BB do?

- a. One incident notwithstanding, went really well. (Incident between student and Top O' employee. Nuf said)
- b. Made over \$2000, not including what was made at the door (which may be ~\$600). This year we made money!
- c. Really loved having the two rooms. SUCCESS!
 - i. Ex) Dean States stayed until almost 2am.
 - ii. Never felt crowded like we were at Carolina Inn... until we needed to get kicked out at midnight.
 - 1. Kavita—this was a problem that maybe we need to sort out for next year.
 - 2. Might need to reserve the room until midnight.
 - 3. Other idea: have an alternative “after party” venue, other than Top O'. Maybe informally or formally: like mass move to the Library.

b. **Vice President's Report**

- i. Barristers' Ball update—see above.

c. **Treasurer's Report**

- i. Allocations update—save it for next week.
- ii. DVAP request:
 - 1. Requesting funding for one event, pro bono project. Know Your Rights presentations (on off campus). Has been approved by Novinsky for pro bono credit.
 - 2. Requesting money for food: \$400.
 - a. SBA can only give \$100 per semester per student org.
 - b. Motion to approve \$100. Approved.

d. **Secretary's Report**

VII. Class Reports

- a. **3L Class Report**—class gift person dropped out, so need another person to help the committee.
- b. **2L Class Report**—nothing
- c. **1L Class Report**
 - i. T-Shirt discussion—can we ship tshirts to the law school? Sounds good.
 - ii. UNC Law Pad Folios

VIII. Old Business/New Business

IX. Announcements